



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,666 10/29/2001		Ben A. Bahr	UCONBA/186/US	1007
	11/05/2004		EXAMINER	
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP 750 MAIN STREET SUITE 1400 HARTFORD, CT 06103			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
naktroko, (01 06103		1654	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	L.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/056,666	BAHR, BEN A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael V. Meller	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 August 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 and 24 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 and 15-19 is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-14, 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant has argued in the restriction requirement that it is too restrictive and that there is no burden placed on the examiner on searching all of the groups and encompassed inventions therein. It is noted that the invention encompasses many different compounds which are too numerous for the examiner to search all of them. The compounds vary distinctly in their structures and functions. Thus, an individual search is required of each individual component. Therefore, as part of the election on the record applicant's election is an election of the compound to be examined and the search and examination on the merits will be restricted to that specific compound (the compound of claim 13) since searching any and all compounds encompassed by "lysosomal modulating compound" are simply too numerous for the examiner to search which does create a serious burden upon the examiner. This requirement is an election of a single invention, since each compound is assumed to be a patentably distinct invention, in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Claims 1-8, 15-19 remain withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The requirement is still proper and is remains FINAL.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are not enabled for treating Alzheimer's, Parkinson's or lysosomal disorders. There is no known cure for Alzheimer's or Parkinson's thus treating is also not known. No known treatment can keep the disorders from happening. In fact, many people in the United States alone have such aliments and never get any better. The evidence on the record does not show that one who has such ailments ever gets any better, so how can one claim such treatments when they do not do what they claim they do? Without scientific data showing that the diseases are prevented or cured then treatment of the diseases is just as unknown since patients with such diseases never get any better.

As far as "lysosomal storage disorders" go, they also are not enabled and cannot be determined as to what they would do. Applicant has claimed two specific disorders

Art Unit: 1654

(Alzheimer's and Parkinson's) but it is not clear what would encompass "lysosomal storage disorders". Without more the claims are simply not enabled by the specification.

Applicant argues that Alzheimer's and Parkinson's have known treatments but are they really effective? The key word is "treating". How can one treat such diseases such as Alzheimer's or Parkinson's if one does not show results *in vivo* that such compounds claimed **effectively** treat the claimed disease. The articles submitted only state that treatments are being tested or speculate that they work, but there is nothing that shows that they **effectively** treat the diseases such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's.

Claims 9-14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is meant by the term, "lysosomal storage disorders". What exactly is applicant claiming? Applicant has claimed two specific disorders (Alzheimer's and Parkinson's) but it is not clear what would encompass "lysosomal storage disorders". The specification teaches Alzheimer's and Parkinson's which are known terms of art but not what "lysosomal storage disorders" would meant in the art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1654

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1654

Page 6

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM